

Re: Parseghian questionable business practices – Questions that need answers – follow the money, follow the process.

What is the total amount of business over the last 10-12 years

- How many total transactions
- How many transaction were funded by student activity accounts
- How many transactions were funded by booster sponsored accounts
- How many transactions were funded by taxpayer dollar accounts
-
- Ordering
 - What was the process for each transaction? (Who determined a purchase was necessary? Who determined what to buy(quality, quantity)? Who determined where to buy from? Were any transactions competitively bid? Who determined whether to bid or not? How was price determined? Who determined that the price for the goods was fair market value?)
 - If large volumes of purchases where directed toward 1 supplier, were volume discounts negotiated(annual?)
 - Was there more money spend on a specific team/organization? Are the quantities purchased in line with need? Does the month of purchase make sense(example: ordering material in December for a fall sport)?
- Separation of duties
 - On receipt of every order: Who received the goods? Who counted the goods and matched them to the ordered amount? Who determined that the goods delivered were the same as the goods ordered(no switching to lower cost/quality material)?

These questions are not "personnel" related. These are business transactions that should have an audit trail.

Once there are comprehensive answers to these questions, someone can determine legal, ethical and moral behaviors.

The bottom line is: if any money was wasted(buying too much(quantity and determining "need"), charging too much(price above fair market value, short shipping and approving full payment, substituting merchandise) then there is theft. There are legal and ethical issues.

Who is accountable throughout the chain of command? Any time someone is trying to "clarify any technical compliance issues", that is not a good sign.

If a person is willing to illegally funnel business to a favorite supplier, there is usually more fraud involved than it appears on the surface. Hence the need to fully audit the entire process.

Even if this administrator complies with laws, he needs to be held to a high standard of TRUST. Was there full openness and transparency for ALL transactions?

If yes, let's move on. If no, Parseghian should resign. Stein Should resign, Mizoguchi should step down. That's the RIGHT thing to do. That's called EHTICS.

To do otherwise means you care more about your job that having a trustworthy and ethical school system. That is a bigger issue than any law that is broken.

You can't move ahead if the people responsible for fixing the problem ARE THE PROBLEM.

*Champion
the class*